# Unusual Anisotropic Effects from 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadducts of 4-Azidomethyl Coumarins

Raviraj A. Kusanur,<sup>a</sup> Manohar V. Kulkarni,<sup>a</sup>\* Geetha M. Kulkarni,<sup>b†</sup> Susanta K. Nayak,<sup>c</sup> Tayur N. Guru Row,<sup>c</sup> Kilivelu Ganesan,<sup>d</sup> and Chung-Ming Sun<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Karnatak University, Dharwad 580003, Karnataka, India <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Karnatak Science College, Dharwad 580003, Karnataka, India <sup>c</sup>Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, Karnataka, India <sup>d</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu 300-10, Taiwan, Republic of China \*E-mail: manohar274@gmail.com

†In memoriam; deceased July 2009.

Received April 27, 2009

DOI 10.1002/jhet.273

Published online 29 December 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).



4-Bromomethylcoumarins (1) reacted with sodium azide in aqueous acetone to give 4-azidomethylcoumarins (2), which underwent 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with acetylenic dipolarophiles to give triazoles (3). These triazoles (3) have been found to exhibit interesting variations in the chemical shifts of  $C_3$ —H and  $C_4$ -methylene protons. Protonation studies indicate that the shielding effect of the  $C_3$ —H of coumarin is due to  $\pi$ -electrons of the triazole ring, further supported by diffraction and computational studies.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 47, 91 (2010).

## **INTRODUCTION**

Organic azides are an important class of 1,3-dipoles, which have been recently recognized as crucial functional groups in click chemistry [1]. The exergonic reaction of azides with acetylenic dipolarophiles has resulted in one of the best synthetic routes to 1,2,3-triazoles [2]. This single-step transformation has been investigated for its regioselectivity in aqueous systems [3], in ionic liquids [4], in copper (I) catalysis [5], and by the solidphase approach [6]. In view of the wide range of biological activities of 1,2,3-triazoles [7,8] and in continuation of our study on biologically active and fluorescent 4substituted coumarins [9,10], it was thought of substantial intellectual appeal to link the 1,2,3-triazole moiety at the allylic position with respect to the biogenetically important  $C_3$ - $C_4$  double bond of coumarin. There are very few reports on pyranone-substituted 1,3 dipoles except for the reactions of coumarin 4-nitrile oxides [11].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The required dipolar azide intermediates (2) were synthesized by the reaction of sodium azide with various 4bromomethylcoumarins (1) [12] in aqueous acetone at room temperature and were quite stable even above 100°C. The first acetylenic dipolarophile used in this investigation was dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD), giving rise to triazolomethylcoumarins (3) in refluxing xylene (Scheme 1). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the azide,  $(2a, R_1 = 6-CH_3)$  as expected, the C<sub>4</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> protons linked to the azido group were observed at 4.57 ppm and the C3-H of coumarin, appeared as a singlet at 6.52 ppm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of DMAD adduct 3a showed two interesting features such as (i) C<sub>4</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> protons showed a downfield shift and were observed at 6.05 ppm when compared with 4.56 ppm observed in the case of 4-anilinomethylcoumarins or 5.20 ppm observed in the case of 4-phenoxymethylcoumarins. (ii) The C3-H of coumarin in the DMAD 92

Scheme 1. Synthesis of triazolomethylcoumarins from click chemistry.



Reagents and condition: i) NaN3 (1.2 equiv.), acetone / water, 10 h.; ii) DMAD (1.0 equiv.), xylene, reflux, 8 h

adduct experienced an upfield shift and was observed as a singlet at 5.60 ppm, as against 6.60-6.70 ppm in the case of both 4-anilinomethyl or 4-phenoxymethyl coumarins [13,14]. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report in the literature on this type of shielding effect of coumarin C<sub>3</sub>-H in 7-methoxy-4-platinomethylcoumarin complex coordinated with 1,10-phenanthroline [15]. This rare type of shielding effect of coumarin C<sub>3</sub>-H and simultaneous pronounced deshielding of the C4-methylene protons were due to the triazole on the C<sub>4</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> group, which has been consistently observed in all the cycloaddition adducts of the azides and DMAD (Table 1). It is interesting to note that benzylic azides have also been reported to undergo similar dipolar cycloaddition reaction [16], but no effects on the chemical shifts of the ortho protons have been observed. The chemical shift for the methylene protons in p-hydroxybenzyl azide and DMAD adduct is around 5.60 ppm, whereas the aromatic ortho protons were found to resonate at 7.11 ppm [17].

		C <sub>4</sub> —CH <sub>2</sub>		С3—Н	
Entry	R	Azide (2)	DMAD adduct (3)	Azide (2)	DMAD adduct (3)
а	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	4.57	6.05	6.52	5.60
b	7-CH <sub>3</sub>	4.56	6.03	6.47	5.67
с	5,6-benzo	4.96	6.54	6.71	5.46
d	7,8-benzo	4.63	6.10	6.57	5.78
e	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	4.57	6.01	6.53	5.80
f	7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	4.61	6.08	6.53	5.89
g	6-C1	4.55	6.01	6.58	5.77
ĥ	7-Cl	4.54	6.06	6.56	5.81

Table 1 Chemical shift values of C<sub>4</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>-H in azides (2) and cycloadducts (3) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

Unusual Anisotropic Effects from 1,	3-Dipolar Cycloadducts					
of 4-Azidomethyl Coumarins						



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of triazole adduct 3i. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

Effect of substituents. The possible role played by the two ester groups in this observation was verified by using other dipolarophiles such as acetylene, phenylacetylene, and diphenylacetylene in this reaction with azide 2a (Fig. 1). This led to the formation of adducts 3i, 3j, and 3k, respectively (Scheme 1). In all these cases, similar trend has been observed. Hence, the origin of this effect lies in the triazole ring rather than the substituents.

**Temperature effect.** To understand the nature of this phenomenon, we recorded the temperature-dependent NMR for one of the DMAD adducts (**3a**) at various temperatures. Up to a temperature of 393 K, there was a regular decrease in the chemical shift values of C<sub>4</sub>-methylene protons from 6.1790 to 6.1090  $\delta$  ppm. The C<sub>3</sub>—H protons showed a slight increase from 5.638 to 5.790 ppm (Table 2). This behavior indicated the ab-

 Table 2

 Temperature dependence of chemical shifts of C4—CH<sub>2</sub> and C3—H of (3a) in DMSO- $d_6$ .

	Chemical shi	Chemical shifts (& ppm)		
Temperature (K)	C <sub>4</sub> —CH <sub>2</sub>	С3—Н		
303.1	6.1790	5.638		
313.1	6.1710	5.654		
323.1	6.1645	5.670		
333.1	6.1565	5.689		
343.1	6.1475	5.706		
353.1	6.1405	5.725		
373.1	6.1245	5.758		
393.1	6.1090	5.790		

sence of any intramolecular hydrogen bonding between  $C_3$ —H and triazole nitrogen *via* a six-membered ring.

Protonation behavior. Qualitative protonation studies were performed by adding two drops of trifluoroacetic acid (CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, which resulted in significant changes in the chemical shifts of C3-H and  $C_4$ -CH<sub>2</sub> protons. In the case of **3a**, the  $C_3$ -H proton was further shifted downfield to 6.01 ppm from 5.60 ppm and  $C_4$ — $CH_2$  protons were also deshielded to 6.30 ppm from 6.05 ppm. This trend was observed for all the substituted cycloadducts (3) (Table 3). In the case of acetylene adduct 3i, the trend was also similar, whereas the C<sub>4</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> protons showed downfield shift from 5.77 to 6.16 ppm and the  $C_3$ -H from 5.94 to 6.41 ppm. Probable structures of the protonated species 5 and 6 (Scheme 2) indicate that  $N_1$  of the triazole ring can be quaternized and inductively withdraw the electron density at  $C_4$ — $CH_2$ , which would cause deshielding effect.

However, the simultaneous deshielding effect of  $C_3$ —H under this condition strongly supports an argument that the  $C_3$ —H proton falls in the shielding zone of the triazole moiety, which is perturbed due to protonation. Further, the higher deshielding of the methylene protons was observed, which can also be explained in

		C <sub>4</sub> —CH <sub>2</sub>		С3—Н	
Compound	R	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	$CDCl_3 + TFA$	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	$CDCl_3 + TFA$
3a	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	6.05	6.30	5.60	6.01
3b	7-CH3	6.03	6.21	5.67	5.94
3c	5,6-benzo	6.54	6.73	5.46	5.87
3d	7,8-benzo	6.10	6.33	5.78	6.06
3e	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	6.01	6.21	5.85	6.09
3f	7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	6.08	6.29	5.89	6.02
3g	6-C1	5.94	6.19	5.77	6.16
3h	7-C1	6.06	6.17	5.81	6.00

 Table 3

 Chemical shifts of C4—CH<sub>2</sub> and C3—H upon protonation (CDCl<sub>3</sub> + TFA) in DMAD adducts (3a-3h).

R. A. Kusanur, M. V. Kulkarni, G. M. Kulkarni, S. K. Nayak, T. N. Gururow, K. Ganesan, Vol 47 and C. M. Sun

Scheme 2. Schematic representation of protonation behavior.



terms of enhanced contribution of the dipolar form 4 to the ground-state resonance of compound 3.

**Diffraction studies.** We have performed a single crystal analysis of the acetylene adduct **3i**. The ORTEP diagram (Fig. 1) clearly shows that the planar triazole ring is oriented at an angle of  $78.88^{\circ}$  with respect to the coumarin ring. This supports our argument that the shielding of the C<sub>3</sub>—H is due to the triazole ring and not because of any substituents.

**Computational support.** We have also performed the geometry optimization of the triazoles 3a and 3k molecules, which are shown in the Figure 2 using the Gaussian 03 program [18]. The minimization was per-



Figure 2. Optimized geometries of compounds 3a and 3k. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

formed according to the density functional theory at the B3LYP method with standard Gaussian split-valence 6-31G (d,p) basis set. The two structures clearly revealed the relative orientations of the triazole ring with respect to the C<sub>3</sub> proton of the coumarin (Fig. 2). The anisotropic effect observed on the C<sub>3</sub>—H of coumarin is due to the angularly oriented triazole ring, the  $\pi$ -electron cloud of which will have a shielding effect.

## CONCLUSIONS

The unusual anisotropic effects observed in the 4-triazolomethyl coumarins synthesized from 4-azidomethylcoumarins 2 and a variety of acetylenic dipolarophiles are due to the angularly oriented triazole, which has been shown by NMR, X-ray diffraction, and computational studies.

Table 4

Synthesis of 4-azidomethyl-chromen-2-ones (2a-2h)and 1-(2-oxo-2H-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1H-[1,2,3]triazoles (3a-3k).

Entry	Product	$R_1$	$R_2$	R <sub>3</sub>	Melting point (°C)	Yield (%)
1	2a	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	_	_	110	63
2	2b	7-CH <sub>3</sub>	_	_	104	60
3	2c	5,6-Benzo	-	_	146	65
4	2d	7,8-Benzo	-	_	131	64
5	2e	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	-	_	106	62
6	2f	7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	-	-	92	59
7	2g	6-Cl	-	_	118	66
8	2h	7-Cl	-	-	102	65
9	3a	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	192	76
10	3b	7-CH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	151	75
11	3c	5,6-Benzo	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	180	78
12	3d	7,8-Benzo	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	226	79
13	3e	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	194	70
14	3f	7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	182	69
15	3g	6-Cl	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	209	72
16	3h	7-Cl	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	194	70
17	3i	6-CH3	Н	Н	199	69
18	3ј	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Ph	168	71
19	3k	6-CH <sub>3</sub>	Ph	Ph	170	67

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Melting points were determined by open capillary method and are uncorrected. The elemental analysis was performed using Heraus CHN rapid analyzer. Analytical TLC was performed on Merck precoated 60 F<sub>254</sub> silica gel plates. IR spectra (KBr disc) were recorded on a Nicolet-5700 FTIR spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 MHz spectrometer using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. The chemical shifts are expressed in  $\delta$  ppm scale down field from tetramethylsilane and proton signals are indicated as s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet and m = multiplet. EI 70 EV and AUTOSPEC electron impact mass spectrometer was used to record mass spectra.

**Preparation of substituted 4-bromomethylcoumarins (1a–1h).** The required substituted 4-bromomethylcoumarins 1 [14] have been synthesized by the Pechmann cyclization of various phenols with 4-bromoethylacetoacetate.

General procedure for the preparation of 4-azidomethylchromen-2-ones (2a–2h). 4-Bromo-methylcoumarin 1 (0.01 mol) was taken in acetone (20 mL) in a round bottom flask. To this, sodium azide (0.012 mol) in 3 mL of water was added dropwise with stirring. The stirring was continued for 10 h (reaction was monitored by TLC). Then, the reaction mixture was poured to ice cold water. The separated solid was filtered and recrystallized using suitable solvent (Table 4).

**4-Azidomethyl-6-methyl-chromen-2-one** (2a). Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 110°C, yield 63%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1722 (lactone C=O), 2109 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.44 (s, 3H, C6–CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.57 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.52 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.30 (s, 1H, C5–H), 7.32 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.40 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.3 Hz); LCMS *m*/*z*: 216 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 61.39; H, 4.22; N, 19.53; Found: C, 61.36; H, 4.10; N, 19.51.

**4-Azidomethyl-7-methyl-chromen-2-one** (2b). Colorless solid (petroleum ether + benzene), mp. 104°C, yield 60%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1732 (lactone C=O), 2098 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.47 (s, 3H, C7–CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.56 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.47 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.27 (s, 1H, C8–H), 7.13 (d, 1H, C6–H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.41 (d, 1H, C5–H, J = 8.0 Hz); LCMS *m/z*: 216 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 61.39; H, 4.22; N, 19.53; Found: C, 61.32; H, 4.08; N, 19.47.

**1-Azidomethyl-benzo[f]chromen-3-one** (**2c**). Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 146°C, yield 65%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1727 (lactone C=O), 2099 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.96 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.71 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.50 (d, 1H, Ar–H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.58 (t, 1H, Ar–H, J = 6.6 Hz), 7.69 (t, 1H, Ar–H, J = 6.6 Hz), 7.94 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.1 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, Ar–H, J = 8.9 Hz), 8.25 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.0 Hz). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 66.93; H, 3.61; N, 16.73; Found: C, 66.90; H, 3.54; N, 16.70.

**4-Azidomethyl-benzo[h]chromen-2-one (2d).** Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 131°C, yield 64%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1716 (lactone C=O), 2115 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.63 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.57 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.26–8.54 (m, 6H, Ar–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 66.93; H, 3.61; N, 16.73; Found: C, 66.87; H, 3.53; N, 16.66.

4-Azidomethyl-6-methoxy-chromen-2-one (2e). Colorless solid (petroleum ether), mp. 106°C, yield 62%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1710 (lactone C=O), 2115 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.90 (s, 3H, C6–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.57 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>),

6.53 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.96 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.26 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.40 (s, 1H, C5–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; C, 57.14; H, 3.92; N, 18.17; Found: C, 57.11; H, 3.83; N, 18.06.

4-Azidomethyl-7-methoxy-chromen-2-one (2f). Colorless solid (petroleum ether), mp. 92°C, yield 59%; IR (KBr, ν in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1712 (lactone C=O), 2116 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.93 (s, 3H, C7–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.61 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.53 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.55–7.44 (m, 3H, Ar–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; C, 57.14; H, 3.92; N, 18.17; Found: C, 57.09; H, 3.86; N, 18.02.

**4-Azidomethyl-6-chloro-chromen-2-one** (**2g**). Colorless solid (petroleum ether), mp. 118°C, yield 66%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1720 (lactone C=O), 2121 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.55 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.58 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.32 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.54 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.70 (s, 1H, C5–H); LCMS *m/z*: 237 [M + 2]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 50.97; H, 2.57; N, 15.05; Found: C, 50.82; H, 2.54; N, 15.01.

**4-Azidomethyl-7-chloro-chromen-2-one** (2h). Colorless solid (petroleum ether), mp. 102°C, yield 65%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1710 (lactone C=O), 2120 (N<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.54 (s, 2H, CH2–N<sub>3</sub>), 6.56 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.21 (d, 1H, C6–H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.41 (s, 1H, C8–H), 7.71 (d, 1H, C5–H, J = 7.9 Hz). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 50.97; H, 2.57; N, 15.05; Found: C, 50.91; H, 2.52; N, 15.00.

General procedure for the preparation of 1-(2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1*H*-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3a–3h). Mixture of 4-azidomethylcoumarin 2 (0.01 mol) and DMAD (0.01 mol) was taken in a dry xylene (5 mL) in a round bottom flask. The mixture was refluxed in an oil bath at  $130^{\circ}$ C under dry conditions for 8 h (reaction was monitored by TLC) and then cooled. The separated solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized using suitable solvent.

**1-(6-Methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1H-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3a).** Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 192°C, yield 76%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1722 (lactone C=O), 1722 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.46 (s, 3H, C6–CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.95 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.02 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.60 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.05 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.36 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.51 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.67 (s, 1H, C5–H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 22, 50, 53, 54, 114, 117, 118, 124, 130, 134, 135, 141, 148, 152, 158, 161, 162; LCMS *m/z*: 358 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 57.14; H, 4.23; N, 11.76; Found: C, 57.18; H, 4.17; N, 11.69.

**1-**(7-Methyl-2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1*H*-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3b). Colorless solid (benzene), mp. 151°C, yield 75%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1722 (lactone C=O), 1754 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 2.48 (s, 3H, C7–CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.94 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.01 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.67 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.03 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.17 (d, 1H, C6–H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.37 (s, 1H, C8–H), 7.57 (d, 1H, C5–H, *J* = 7.1 Hz); LCMS *m/z*: 358 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 57.14; H, 4.23; N, 11.76; Found: C, 57.19; H, 4.16; N, 11.72.

1-(3-Oxo-3*H*-benzo[f]chromen-1-ylmethyl)-1*H*-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3c). Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 180°C, yield 78%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1731 (lactone C=O), 1731 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.85 (s, 3H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.03 (s, 3H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), R. A. Kusanur, M. V. Kulkarni, G. M. Kulkarni, S. K. Nayak, T. N. Gururow, K. Ganesan, Vol 47 and C. M. Sun

5.46 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.54 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.52 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.62 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.72 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.00 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.07 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz), 8.19 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.0 Hz). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 61.07; H, 3.84; N, 10.68; Found: C, 61.01; H, 3.79; N, 10.60.

**1-(2-Oxo-2***H***-benzo[***h***]chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1***H***-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3d). Colorless solid (ethanol + dioxane), mp. 226°C, yield 79%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1711 (lactone C=O), 1738 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): \delta 3.94 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.01 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.78 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.10 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.20–8.54 (m, 6H, Ar–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 61.07; H, 3.84; N, 10.68; Found: C, 61.04; H, 3.80; N, 10.63.** 

**1-(6-Methoxy-2-oxo-2H-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1H-[1,2,3] triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3e).** Colorless solid (ethanol + dioxane), mp. 194°C, yield 70%; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1720 (lactone C=O), 1744 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.87 (s, 3H, C6–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.93 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.00 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.85 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.01 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.16–7.34 (m, 3H, Ar–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>; C, 54.69; H, 4.05; N, 11.26; Found: C, 54.62; H, 4.17; N, 11.25.

**1-(7-Methoxy-2-oxo-***2H***-chromen-4-ylmethyl**)-1*H*-[1,2,3] **triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester** (**3f**). Colorless solid (ethanol + dioxane), mp. 182°C, yield 69%; IR (KBr, ν in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1722 (lactone C=O), 1742 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.89 (s, 3H, C7–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.96 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.01 (s, 3H, –COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.89 (s, 1H, C3–H), 6.08 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.21–7.92 (m, 3H, Ar–H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>; C, 54.69; H, 4.05; N, 11.26; Found: C, 54.60; H, 4.13; N, 11.21.

**1-(6-Chloro-2-oxo-2***H***-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1***H***-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3g). Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 209°C, yield 72%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1726 (lactone C=O), 1726 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): \delta 3.96 (s, 3H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.02 (s, 3H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.77 (s, 1H, C3-H), 6.01 (s, 2H, C4-CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.35 (d, 1H, C7-H,** *J* **= 8.8 Hz), 7.57 (d, 1H, C8-H,** *J* **= 8.7 Hz), 7.70 (s, 1H, C5-H); LCMS** *m***/***z***: 379 [M + 2]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 50.87; H, 3.20; N, 11.12; Found: C, 50.79; H, 3.15; N, 11.05.** 

**1-(7-Chloro-2-oxo-2***H***-chromen-4-ylmethyl)-1***H***-[1,2,3]triazole-4,5-dicaboxylic acid dimethyl ester (3h). Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 194°C, yield 70%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1721 (lactone C=O), 1754 (ester C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.90 (s, 3H, —COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.04 (s, 3H, —COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.81 (s, 1H, C3—H), 6.06 (s, 2H, C4—CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.72–7.64 (m, 3H, Ar—H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; C, 50.87; H, 3.20; N, 11.12; Found: C, 50.75; H, 3.12; N, 11.09.** 

**Preparation of 6-methyl-4-[1,2,3]triazol-1ylmethyl-chromen-2-one (3i).** To the 4-azido-methylcoumarin **2a** (0.01 mol) in a dry xylene (15 mL), dry acetylene gas was passed for 30 min and then the flask was sealed and heated in an oil bath at 130°C for 10 h (reaction was monitored by TLC). The flask was cooled, and the separated solid was filtered and recrystallized from alcohol. Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 199°C, yield 69%; IR (KBr, v in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1720 (lactone C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.42 (s, 3H, C6–CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.77 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.94 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.28 (d, 1H, C7–H, J = 8.7Hz), 7.36 (s, 1H, C5–H), 7.40 (d, 1H, C8–H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.66 (d, 1H, triazole), 7.82 (d, 1H, triazole); LCMS m/z: 242 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 64.72; H, 4.60; N, 17.42; Found: C, 64.68; H, 4.58; N, 17.40.

**Preparation of 6-methyl-4-(4-phenyl-[1,2,3]triazol-1ylmethyl)-chromen-2-one (3j).** Mixture of 4-azidomethylcoumarin **2a** (0.01 mol) and phenyl acetylene (0.01 mol) was taken in a dry xylene (5 mL) in a dry round bottom flask. The mixture was refluxed in an oil bath at 130°C under dry conditions for 10 h (reaction was monitored by TLC) and cooled. The separated solid was filtered and recrystallized from alcohol.Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 168°C, yield 71%; IR (KBr,  $\nu$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1738 (lactone C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.43 (s, 3H, C6–CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.77 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.10 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.28–7.84 (m, 9H, Ar–H); LCMS *m/z*: 318 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 71.91; H, 4.76; N, 13.24; Found: C, 71.80; H, 4.72; N, 13.18.

**Preparation of 4-(4,5-diphenyl-[1,2,3]triazol-1-ylmethyl)-6methyl-chromen-2-one (3k).** Mixture of 4-azidomethylcoumarin **2a** (0.01 mol) and diphenylacetylene (0.01 mol) was taken in a dry xylene (5 mL) in a dry round bottom flask. The mixture was refluxed in an oil bath at 130°C under dry conditions for 10 h (reaction was monitored by TLC) and cooled. The separated solid was filtered and recrystallized from alcohol.

Colorless solid (ethanol), mp. 170°C, yield 67%; IR (KBr,  $\nu$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1732 (lactone C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.39 (s, 3H, C6–CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.58 (s, 2H, C4–CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.70 (s, 1H, C3–H), 7.24–7.58 (m, 13H, Ar–H); LCMS *m/z*: 394 [M + 1]. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; C, 76.32; H, 4.87; N, 10.68; Found: C, 76.26; H, 4.84; N, 10.65.

Acknowledgments. CMS and KG thank National Council of Science, Taiwan for financial assistance and Dr. P. Raghunath, NCTU, Taiwan for nice discussion regarding computational studies. MVK and GMK thank the authorities of the Karnatak University, Dharwad, India for providing laboratory facilities and fellowship to RAK.

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

[1] Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew Chem Int Ed 2001, 40, 2004.

[2] Huisgen, R. Pure Appl Chem 1989, 61, 613.

[3] Wang, Z. Y.; Li, Q. H. Chem Commun 2003, 2450.

[4] Seregin, I. V.; Batog, L. V.; Makhova, N. N. Mendeleev Commun 2002, 12, 83.

[5] Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002, 114, 2708.

[6] Harju, K.; Vahermo, M.; Mutikainen, I.; Yli-kauhaluoma, J. J Comb Chem 2003, 5, 826.

[7] Biagi, G.; Calderone, V.; Giorgi, I.; Livi, O.; Scartoni, V.; Baragatti, B.; Martinotti, E. Eur J Med Chem 2000, 5, 715.

[8] Kelley, J. L.; Kolbe, C. S.; Davis, R. G.; Mclean, E. W.; Soroko, F. E.; Cooper, B. R. J Med Chem 1995, 38, 4131.

[9] Ghate, M. D.; Kulkarni, M. V.; Shobha, R.; Kattimani, S. Y. Eur J Med Chem 2003, 38, 297.

[10] Melavanki, R. M.; Kusanur, R. A.; Kulkarni, M. V.; Kadadevarmath, J. S. J Lumin 2008, 128, 573.

[11] Nicolaides, D. N.; Fylaktakdiou, K. C.; Litinas, K. E.; Papageorgiou, G. K.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J. J Heterocycl Chem 1998, 35, 619. [12] Dey, B. B.; Shankarnarayan, Y. J Indian Chem Soc 1934, 11, 687.

[13] Kulkarni, M. V.; Pujar, B. G.; Patil, V. D. Arch Pharm (Weinheim) 1982, 316, 15.

[14] Kulkarni, M. V.; Patil, V. D. Arch Pharm (Weinheim) 1981, 314, 708.

- [15] Aye, K. T.; Puddephatt, R. Inorg Chim Acta 1995, 235, 711.
- [16] Abu-Orabi, S. T. Molecules 2002, 7, 302.
- [17] Ziegler, T. Chem Rev 1991, 91, 651.

[18] Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G.

E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A.; et al. Gaussian 03 Revision B 04; Gaussian: Pittsburgh, 2003.